

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/423,093	11/01/1999		PETER RICHARD REEVES	23541-01	6333
23373	7590 0	07/16/2003			
	MION, PLLC	EXAMINER			
	SYLVANIA AV ON, DC 20037	•		SISSON, BRADLEY L	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1634	
				DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/423,093 Examin r	REEVES ET AL.					
,							
Bradley L. Sisson 1634 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become AB,	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. "HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 A	<u>//arch 2003</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>I</i> Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>85-106</u> is/are pending in the application	on						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>85-106</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	and and the constant OF LLO O. O.	440(1) (1) (0)					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	have been received						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the prior application from the the prior applic	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro-	, ,						
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Ir	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 24 March 2003 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 85-106 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- 4. As presently worded, the method of claims 85-106 encompass the use of oligonucleotide molecules that are "at least about 10 nucleotides in length." A review of the original disclosure failed to locate support for this range. Support for a range of from "about 10 to about 20 nucleotides" has been found, e.g., page 10 and original claim 5. Such a limitation has been

Application/Control Number: 09/423,093

Art Unit: 1634

Page 3

interpreted as encompassing a range that is without an upper limit. Such an interpretation is based on the continued usage of the phrase "at least." While the claims have been amended so to reflect a lower endpoint for the range *i.e.*, "about 10," no limitation exists with regard to the upper limit of the range. As noted in the final Office action of 23 July 2002, the specification has not been found to provide support for such an open-ended range, and accordingly, constitutes new matter. While applicant acknowledges the prior rejection over the same issue, and indicates that the claims, as amended, render the rejection moot (page 13 of the response of 23 December 2002, the response does not indicate where support for the open-ended range is to be found in the specification. Accordingly, and in the absence of convincing evidence to the contrary, the limitation added constitutes new matter in that it is not supported by the originally-filed disclosure and as such, claims 85-106 are rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph.

- 5. The method of claim 101 requires one to perform hybridization reactions between a probe of undefined sequence to a target sequence found in any of a variety of "sugar pathway genes." A review of the disclosure fails to find an adequate written description of the coding sequence for these genes as found in any of the encompassed microorganisms, much less an adequate written description of the probes and primers that would be used in the hybridization and detection aspects of the method.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/423,093

Art Unit: 1634

7.

Claim 101 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

Page 4

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

8. Claim 101 is indefinite with respect to which genes are considered to constitute "sugar

pathway genes."

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Bradley L. Sisson whose telephone number is 703-308-3978.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 AM to 5 PM.

10. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 703-308-1119. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular

communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

11. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1234.

Bradley L. Sisson

B. S. Sisson

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1634

BLS

July 13, 2003